Professionalism Review Rubric for opinion papers (also applied to Letters)

Professionalism Review Questions for Opinion Papers
1. The article is well-written with few and minor grammar and spelling errors.   (NSD / MD / A / E) + Comments
2. The article is in scope for the Journal (Scientific and Professional Review)   (NSD / MD / A / E) + Comments
3. The opinion paper reports perspectives on topics including new policy, regulation, generally accepted approaches, technology, success or failure of initiatives. Opinion papers may present current challenges ripe for research or focus on the potential impact of recent advances or on future directions in the profession. Opinion articles often challenge and prompt critical discussion of current thinking, approaches, practices or knowledge relevant to the profession. Provocative thought leader interviews may be reported as opinion papers.   (Response Options Plz spell out in drop-down: NSD, MD, A , E) + Comments
4. Opinions stated in submitted manuscripts are original or highlight particularly insightful ideas of cited others.   (Response Options Plz spell out in drop-down: NSD, MD, A , E) + Comments
5. Opinions are well-reasoned, well-articulated and balanced; with alternate options, approaches, or perspectives are mentioned or described and contrasted with those put forward by the authors.   (Response Options Plz spell out in drop-down: NSD, MD, A , E) + Comments
6. The opinion paper is free from commercial intent and marketing.   (Response Options Plz spell out in drop-down: NSD, MD, A , E) + Comments
7. There are no required sections for opinion papers. However, relevant section headers are effectively used to organize the content of the manuscript.   (Response Options Plz spell out in drop-down: NSD, MD, A , E) + Comments
8. The title, conclusions and recommendations are supported by the content of the opinion paper   (Response Options Plz spell out in drop-down: NSD, MD, A , E) + Comments
9. The content of the opinion paper is relevant to practice, is generalizable to other organizations or the context is sufficiently well described that readers will be able to judge applicability to contexts of interest.   (Response Options Plz spell out in drop-down: NSD, MD, A , E) + Comments
10. Reviewer Overall Recommendation (Scientific and Professional Review)   (Response Options: Reject / Major Revision / Minor Revision / Accept) + Comments
11. Reviewer comments for improving the Review Process